

KU

Constrained Type Families

J. Garrett Morris University of Edinburgh University of Kansas garrett@ittc.ku.edu

> Wednesday, 6 September 2017 ICFP Oxford, UK

BRYN MAWR COLLEGE

Richard A. Eisenberg Bryn Mawr College rae@cs.brynmawr.edu













Two main contributions:

1. are total.



2. First type safety proof with non-termination and non-Simp linear patterns. metatheory

Discovering the problem: GHC assumes all type families



But first: An introduction to type families

type functions

results applicable to any language with partiality and type-level computation

In Haskell, type families

class Collects c where type Elem c empty :: C

insert :: Elem c -> c -> c

type Elem [a] = a

instance Collects Word where type Elem Word = Bool

instance Collects [a] where equality axiom

type family Elem c class Collects c where empty :: C

axiom is independent of class

insert :: Elem c -> c -> c

data Z data S n

- type family Pred n

type instance Pred (S n) = n type instance Pred Z = Z Haskellers leave no

feature unabused

data Z data S n type family Pred n where Pred (S n) = nPred n = n

closed type family

Our new old idea: Constrained Type Families

(originally suggested by Chakravarty et al., ICFP '05)

Definitions A ground type has no type families.

A total type family, when applied to ground types, always equals some ground type.



Constrained Type Families

• All partial type families are associated Class constraint necessary to use an associated type family





type family F a

thwack :: $F a \rightarrow Maybe a$ thwack = ...

Example



class CF a where type F a

thwack = ...

Example



thwack :: CF $a \Rightarrow F a \Rightarrow Maybe a$

The Totality Trap



type family F a

$x = fst (5, \bot :: F Int)$ that's not a type!

Ok, modules loaded: Wat.



class CF a where type F a

$X = fst (5, \bot :: F Int)$ that's not a type!

error: No instance for (CF Int)

Wat #1



Wat #2 type family EqT a b where EqT a = CharThis has bitten! EqT a b = BoolIn real life! f :: a

EqT a (Maybe a) f = FalseTo people who use Haskell to make \$\$\$!

Wat.hs: error: ...









type family EqT a b where EqT a a = Char EqT a b = Bool

f:: a ightarrow EqT a (Maybe a) f = False

Ok, modules loaded: NoWat.

Wat #2

type family Maybes a

Why Wat #2? type instance Maybes a = Maybe (Maybes a) with a H> Maybes Int, a = Maybe a!

Wat#3 Maybe (Maybes a) type inference fail.

type family Maybes a type instance Maybes a = justs = Just justs Wat.hs: error:

 Cannot construct the infinite type:

a ~ Maybe a



Red herring: "
'Just ban Maybes!"

Sometimes we need loopy type families.



instance CMaybes a \Rightarrow CMaybes a where type Maybes a = Maybe (Maybes a)

GHC does not înfer împossible constraint.

justs = Just justs Wat.hs: error: Cannot construct the

infinite type:

a ~ Maybe a

Wat#3



The fundamental problem:

GHC today assumes all type families are total.

Constrained type families fix this.

Why does this fix the wats?

The class constraint restricts the type family domain.



First known proof of consistency with non-linear patterns anc non-termination.





Wrinkle: Total type families

Total type families need not be associated. need better termination checker

Wrinkle: Backward compatibility Infer constraints • New feature: Closed type classes Details in paper

Open question: Forward compatibility

Dependent types
Termination checking
Is Girard's paradox encodable?

- let us escape the totality trap
- prevent the usage of bogus types
- simplify injective type families
- remove an unnecessary feature
- simplify the metatheory
- allow us to prove type safety

Constrained type families: make closed type families more powerful



Constrained Type Families

J. Garrett Morris University of Edinburgh University of Kansas garrett@ittc.ku.edu

> Wednesday, 6 September 2017 ICFP Oxford, UK

BRYNMAWR COLLEGE

Richard A. Eisenberg Bryn Mawr College rae@cs.brynmawr.edu